

NON REVENUE WATER
AT KUALA LIPIS

NOR ALINA BINTI ALIAS

B. ENG (HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the Bachelor Degree of Civil Engineering

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : PUAN WAFTY BINTI ABD RAHMAN

Position : SUPERVISOR

Date : MAY 2019



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : NOR ALINA BINTI ALIAS

ID Number : AA15271

Date : MAY 2019

NON REVENUE WATER
AT KUALA LIPIS

NOR ALINA BINTI ALIAS

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the
B. Eng (Hons.) Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering & Earth Resources
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

MAY 2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, praises to Allah, the Almighty, who give permissions that allows me to complete this thesis. There are a lot challenges that I have encountered throughout the completion of this thesis. With the patience and consent I have been able to overcome these challenges.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to my supervisor, Madam Wafty binti Abd Rahman who provided helpful guidance and advice that helped me to implement this thesis.

I would address my thanks to Pengurusan Air Pahang Daerah Lipis (PAIP), especially Encik Abd Zakiyazid bin Muhamad Saibani and National Water Services Commission, Kuantan Branch (SPAN) on the cooperation that I received for the data and information needed for this thesis.

My sincere appreciation is devoted to my family whom I owe a debt of attitude for their prayers and moral support, to my friends especially Siti Fairuzalina who have helped and support me during completing this thesis.

ABSTRAK

One of the main challenges faced by water utilities is the high NRW level in the water distribution system. In 2015, the NRW rate for Pahang was 52.8% compared with the national average of 35%, the third highest rate among the states in Malaysia. To address this issue, Pahang Water Management Berhad (PAIP) has set up a NRW management unit for its district to monitor NRW rates. For the initial stage, there are only three districts in Pahang State which have special units to control the NRW rate of Kuantan, Kuala Lipis and Pekan. However, this research focuses only on NRW in the district of Kuala Lipis. The NRW unit in Kuala Lipis was established in 2016 after the establishment of DMA. There are nine water treatment plants (WTPs) around Kuala Lipis district equipped with 52 DMAs. The establishment of the DMA commenced in 2014 and completed in 2015. To analyze the effectiveness of NRW management in Kuala Lipis district, the discussion focuses on existing conditions, withdrawals, bills and NRW for every nine WTPs. Comparison for all WTPs has been made to achieve overall NRW management performance from 2016 to 2018. In addition, NRW components were also identified to know factors that contributed to the rate of NRW. Generally, based on the standard water balance there were three components of NRW that consist of physical losses, commercial losses and unbilled authorised consumption. Based on the analysis made, it shows that the main factor that contributed to water losses is the physical losses as proven by the analysis of water losses for each kilometre pipe of each WTP in this report. It shows that there was 2,027 m³ of water losses occur per day for each kilometer pipe for the year of 2016, 1,800 m³ for the year of 2017 and 1397 m³ for the year of 2018. It is about 5,224 m³ of water loss every day in each kilometre pipe along these three consecutive years. In order to compare flow before and after NRW unit establishment, the analysis made based on the volume of production, billing and NRW for each WTP for three years (2016 until 2018) after the establishment of NRW unit. The comparison of the flow was made based on the baseline rate before the establishment of NRW management. Referring to trend of NRW for all nine WTP, it shows that the rate of NRW varies from year to year and directly proportional to the production and billing rate. There was a lot of difference between the production and billing that occur due to water losses. From all WTP around Kuala Lipis area, only Jelai WTP shown consistence decrease of NRW. This situation occur due to Jelai WTP is the priority since it functions to supply the clean and safe water to the Kuala Lipis city with the highest population rate when compare than others WTP. The highest rate of water losses may lead to insufficient water supply for surround population. Therefore, the overall monitoring and management started at the Jelai WTP which provide supply to the town. For other WTP, the overall monitoring and management would be carried out according to priority due to lack of manpower.

ABSTRACT

Cabaran utama yang dihadapi oleh utiliti air adalah tahap NRW yang tinggi dalam sistem pengagihan air. Pada tahun 2015, kadar NRW bagi negeri Pahang adalah 52.8% berbanding purata kebangsaan 35%. Kadar NRW bagi negeri Pahang adalah yang ketiga tertinggi di kalangan negeri-negeri di Malaysia. Untuk menangani isu ini, Pengurusan Air Pahang Berhad (PAIP) telah menubuhkan satu unit pengurusan NRW bagi daerahnya untuk memantau kadar NRW. Untuk peringkat awal, hanya terdapat tiga daerah di Negeri Pahang yang mempunyai unit khas untuk mengawal kadar NRW; Kuantan, Kuala Lipis dan Pekan. Walau bagaimanapun, kajian ini hanya memberi tumpuan kepada NRW di daerah Kuala Lipis. Unit NRW di Kuala Lipis ditubuhkan pada 2016 selepas penubuhan DMA. Terdapat sembilan loji rawatan air (LRA) di sekitar daerah Kuala Lipis yang dilengkapi dengan 52 DMAs. Penubuhan DMA bermula pada tahun 2014 dan selesai pada tahun 2015. Untuk menganalisis keberkesanan pengurusan NRW di daerah Kuala Lipis, perbincangan ini memberi tumpuan kepada syarat, pengeluaran, bil dan NRW yang sedia ada untuk setiap sembilan LRA. Perbandingan untuk semua LRA telah dibuat untuk mengetahui keberkesanan pengurusan NRW dari 2016 hingga 2018. Selain itu, komponen NRW juga dikenal pasti untuk mengetahui faktor-faktor yang menyumbang kepada peningkatan kadar NRW. Pada umumnya, berdasarkan keseimbangan air standard terdapat tiga komponen NRW yang terdiri daripada kerugian fizikal, kerugian komersil dan penggunaan yang tidak dibenarkan. Berdasarkan analisis yang dibuat, ia menunjukkan bahawa faktor utama yang menyumbang kepada kerugian air ialah kerugian fizikal seperti yang terbukti dengan analisis kehilangan air untuk setiap kilometer paip bagi setiap LRA dalam laporan ini. Ia menunjukkan bahawa ada 2,027 m³ kehilangan air berlaku setiap hari untuk setiap paip kilometer untuk tahun 2016, 1,800 m³ untuk tahun 2017 dan 1397 m³ untuk tahun 2018. Purata kehilangan air dalam setiap kilometer paip sepanjang tiga tahun ini adalah sebanyak 5,224 m³. Untuk membandingkan aliran sebelum dan selepas penubuhan unit NRW, analisis dibuat berdasarkan jumlah pengeluaran, bil dan NRW bagi setiap LRA selama tiga tahun (2016 hingga 2018) selepas penubuhan unit NRW. Perbandingan aliran dibuat berdasarkan kadar asas sebelum penubuhan pengurusan NRW. Merujuk kepada trend NRW untuk kesemua sembilan LRA, ia menunjukkan bahawa kadar NRW berubah dari tahun ke tahun dan berkadar terus dengan kadar pengeluaran dan pengebilan. Terdapat banyak perbezaan antara pengeluaran dan pengebilan yang berlaku akibat kehilangan air. Hanya LRA Jelai yang menunjukkan penurunan NRW yang konsisten. Keadaan ini berlaku disebabkan LRA Jelai adalah menjadi keutamaan kerana ia berfungsi untuk membekalkan air bersih dan selamat ke bandar Kuala Lipis dengan kadar penduduk tertinggi berbanding dengan LRA yang lain. Kadar kehilangan air yang tinggi boleh menyebabkan bekalan air yang tidak mencukupi bagi penduduk sekitar. Oleh itu, pemantauan dan pengurusan keseluruhan bermula dari LRA Jelai yang berfungsi menyediakan bekalan ke bandar. Pemantauan dan pengurusan keseluruhan bagi LRA yang lain akan dijalankan mengikut keutamaan kerana kekurangan tenaga kerja.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Objectives	2
1.4 Scope of Study	3
1.5 Significant of Study	3
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 Introduction	4
2.2 Non-Revenue Water	4
2.3 Water Supply System	6

2.4	Continuity Equation	8
2.5	Piping System	9
2.5.1	Types of Pipe	10
2.5.1.1	Metallic Pipe	10
2.5.1.2	Cement Pipe	11
2.5.1.3	Plastic Pipe	11
2.5.2	Pipe Size	11
2.6	Losses in Pipeline Systems	12
2.6.1	Major Losses	13
2.6.2	Minor Losses	13
2.7	Prior Study of NRW	13
2.8	Status of NRW	14
2.9	Contribution of NRW	15
2.9.1	International Water Balance	15
2.9.2	System Input Volume	17
2.9.3	Water Losses	17
2.9.3.1	Physical Losses	17
2.9.3.2	Commercial Losses	18
2.9.4	Pressure	18
2.9.5	Ground Movement	18
2.9.6	Soil Environment	19
2.10	Methods of Calculating NRW	19
2.10.1	Difference Between Volume of Water and Water Billed in an Area	19
2.10.2	Net Night Flow (NNF)	19
2.10.2.1	Minimum Night Flow (MNF)	20
2.10.2.2	Legitimate Night Flow (LNF)	20
2.11	Controlling NRW	21

2.11.1 Mapping	21
2.11.2 Comprehensive Leakage Maintenance Works	22
2.11.3 Replacement of Meter Production	22
2.11.4 Replacement of Old Pipe	22
2.11.5 Water Pressure Management	23
2.11.6 Hot Tapping	24
2.11.7 District Metering Area (DMA)	24
2.11.7.1 Establishing DMA	25
2.11.8 DMA Management	26
 CHAPTER 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	 27
3.1 Introduction	27
3.2 Research Planning	27
3.3 Study Area	29
3.4 Data Collection	30
3.5 Data Analysis	31
3.5.1 Components of NRW	31
3.5.2 Volume of Water	31
3.5.3 NRW Management	31
3.5.3.1 Review	32
3.5.3.2 Zero Pressure Test (ZPT)	32
3.5.3.3 DMA Meter Installation	32
3.5.3.4 Data Logger Installation	33
3.5.3.5 A Complete Report of Leakage Percentage	34
 CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	 35
4.1 Introduction	35
4.2 Components of NRW	35

4.3	Water Treatment Plant	37
4.3.1	Jelai WTP	37
4.3.1.1	Existing Condition	37
4.3.1.2	District Metering Area (DMA)	37
4.3.1.3	Production	38
4.3.1.4	Billing	39
4.3.1.5	Non-Revenue Water	40
4.3.2	Benta WTP	42
4.3.2.1	Existing Condition	42
4.3.2.2	District Metering Area	42
4.3.2.3	Production	42
4.3.2.4	Billing	43
4.3.2.5	Non-Revenue Water	44
4.3.3	Bukit Betong WTP	46
4.3.3.1	Existing Condition	46
4.3.3.2	District Metering Area	46
4.3.3.3	Production	46
4.3.3.4	Billing	47
4.3.3.5	Non-Revenue Water	48
4.3.4	Kechau WTP	50
4.3.4.1	Existing Condition	50
4.3.4.2	District Metering Area.	50
4.3.4.3	Production	50
4.3.4.4	Billing	51
4.3.4.5	Non-Revenue Water	52
4.3.5	Kuala Medang WTP	54
4.3.5.1	Existing Condition	54
4.3.5.2	District Metering Area	54
4.3.5.3	Production	54
4.3.5.4	Billing	55
4.3.5.5	Non-Revenue Water	56
4.3.6	Mela WTP	58

4.3.6.1	Existing Condition	58
4.3.6.2	District Metering Area	58
4.3.6.3	Production	58
4.3.6.4	Billing	59
4.3.6.5	Non-Revenue Water	60
4.3.7	Merapoh WTP	62
4.3.7.1	Existing Condition	62
4.3.7.2	District Metering Area	62
4.3.7.3	Production	62
4.3.7.4	Billing	63
4.3.7.5	Non-Revenue Water	63
4.3.8	Sungai Temau	66
4.3.8.1	Existing Condition	66
4.3.8.2	District Metering Area	66
4.3.8.3	Production	66
4.3.8.4	Billing	67
4.3.8.5	Non-Revenue Water	68
4.3.9	Batu 9 WTP	70
4.3.9.1	Existing Condition	70
4.3.9.2	District Metering Area	70
4.3.9.3	Production	70
4.3.9.4	Billing	71
4.3.9.5	Non-Revenue Water	72
4.4	Summary	74

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 81

5.1	Introduction	81
5.2	Conclusion	81
5.2.1	To Identify the Components that Contribute to NRW at Kuala Lipis	81
5.2.2	To Compare Flow Before and After NRW Unit Establishment	82

5.3	Recommendations	82
	REFERENCES	84

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Water Parameter Guideline	7
Table 2.2	Size of Pipe	12
Table 2.3	Percentage of NRW	15
Table 2.4	IWA 'Best Practice' Standard Water Balance	16
Table 3.1	List of WTP	30
Table 4.1	Design Capacity	37
Table 4.2	DMA of Jelai WTP	38
Table 4.3	Water Losses (m ³ /day/km) for Jelai WTP	41
Table 4.4	DMA of Benta WTP	42
Table 4.5	Water Losses (m ³ /day/km) for Benta WTP	45
Table 4.6	DMA of Bukit Betong WTP	46
Table 4.7	Water Losses (m ³ /day/km) for Bukit Betong WTP	49
Table 4.8	DMA of Kechau WTP	50
Table 4.9	Water Losses (m ³ /day/km) for Kechau WTP	53
Table 4.10	DMA of Kuala Medang WTP	54
Table 4.11	Water Losses (m ³ /day/km) for Kuala Medang WTP	57
Table 4.12	DMA of Mela WTP	58
Table 4.13	Water Losses (m ³ /day/km) for Mela WTP	61
Table 4.14	DMA of Merapoh WTP	62
Table 4.15	Water Losses (m ³ /day/km) for Merapoh WTP	65
Table 4.16	DMA of Sungai Temau WTP	66
Table 4.17	Water Losses (m ³ /day/km) for Sungai Temau WTP	69
Table 4.18	DMA of Batu 9 WTP	70
Table 4.19	Water Losses (m ³ /day/km) for Batu 9 WTP	73
Table 5.1	List of Recommendations	92

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Water Supply System	8
Figure 2.2	Step for Calculating NRW Using IWA Water Balance Table	16
Figure 3.1	Summary of Research Methodology	28
Figure 3.2	Map of Kuala Lipis	29
Figure 3.3	Location of WTP	30
Figure 3.4	Pressure Data Logger; Lolog 450	33
Figure 3.5	Flow Data Logger; Cello 4	34
Figure 3.6	Sample of MNF Report	34
Figure 4.1	Components of NRW	36
Figure 4.2	Production of Jelai WTP	39
Figure 4.3	Billing of Jelai WTP	39
Figure 4.4	NRW of Jelai WTP	40
Figure 4.5	Production of Benta WTP	43
Figure 4.6	Billing of Benta WTP	43
Figure 4.7	NRW of Benta WTP	44
Figure 4.8	Production of Bukit Betong WTP	47
Figure 4.9	Billing of Bukit Betong WTP	47
Figure 4.10	NRW of Bukit Betong WTP	48
Figure 4.11	Production of Kechau WTP	51
Figure 4.12	Billing of Kechau WTP	51
Figure 4.13	NRW of Kechau WTP	52
Figure 4.14	Production of Kuala Medang WTP	55
Figure 4.15	Billing of Kuala Medang WTP	55
Figure 4.16	NRW of Kuala Medang WTP	56
Figure 4.17	Production of Mela WTP	59
Figure 4.18	Billing of Mela WTP	59
Figure 4.19	NRW of Mela WTP	60
Figure 4.20	Production of Merapoh WTP	63
Figure 4.21	Billing of Merapoh WTP	63
Figure 4.22	NRW of Merapoh WTP	64
Figure 4.23	Production of Sungai Temau WTP	67
Figure 4.24	Billing of Sungai Temau WTP	67
Figure 4.25	NRW of Sungai Temau WTP	68

Figure 4.26	Production of Batu 9 WTP	71
Figure 4.27	Billing of Batu 9 WTP	71
Figure 4.28	NRW of Batu 9 WTP	72
Figure 4.29	Summary of Production, Billing and NRW for Jelai WTP	74
Figure 4.30	Summary of Production, Billing and NRW for Benta WTP	75
Figure 4.31	Summary of Production, Billing and NRW for Bukit Betong WTP	75
Figure 4.32	Summary of Production, Billing and NRW for Kechau WTP	76
Figure 4.33	Summary of Production, Billing and NRW for Kuala Medang WTP	76
Figure 4.34	Summary of Production, Billing and NRW for Mela WTP	77
Figure 4.35	Summary of Production, Billing and NRW for Merapoh WTP	77
Figure 4.36	Summary of Production, Billing and NRW for Sungai Temau WTP	78
Figure 4.37	Summary of Production, Billing and NRW for Batu 9 WTP	78
Figure 4.38	Summary of Production and Billing for All WTP	79
Figure 4.39	Summary of NRW for all WTP	79
Figure 4.40	Summary of Losses for each Kilometer Pipeline	80

LIST OF SYMBOLS

AC	Asbestos Concrete
DMA	District Metering Area
FELCRA	Federal Land Consolidation and Rehabilitation Authority
FELDA	Federal Land Development Authority
GIS	Geographic Information System
GPS	Global Positioning System
IWA	International Water Association
LNF	Legitimate Night Flow
MNF	Minimum Night Flow
NNF	Net Night Flow
NRW	Non-revenue water
PAIP	Pengurusan Air Pahang Berhad PAIP
POE	Point of Entry
POU	Point of Use
PRV	Pressure Reducing Valves
PVC	Plasticised Polyvinyl Chloride
PVC-O	Oriented Unplasticised Polyvinyl Chloride
SCADA	Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition
SOP	Standard operating procedure
SPAN	Suruhanjaya Perkhidmatan Air Negara
UFW	Unaccounted for Water
WHO	World Health Organization
WTP	Water Treatment Plant
ZPT	Zero Pressure Test

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

π	Simple Boost Pulse Width Modulation
“	Inch
A	Cross Section Area
d	Diameter of Pipe
F	Darcy Friction Factor
g	Gravity Acceleration
h_L	Head Loss
k	Losses Coefficient
km	Kilometre
L	Length
LNF	Legitimate Night Flow
m ³ /hour	Cubic Metre Per Hour
m ³ /year	Cubic Meter Per Year
m ³ /day/km	Cubic Meter Per Day Per Kilometre
mg/l	Milligram Per Liter
mm	Millimetres
MNF	Minimum Night Flow
n	Number of Pipe Flushing and Scouring
NNF	Net Night Flow
NTU	Nephelometric Turbidity Unit
Q	Flow
t	Thickness of Pipe
V	Velocity

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Currently, water already becomes the most important global issues. Most of the urban water utilities in developing countries faced water losses in the water distribution system. The water management sector in many Asian cities faced a challenge of the high rates on Non-Revenue Water (NRW). Maintaining water sustainability can become more difficult if large volumes of treated water are lost from a water supply system.

NRW represents the difference between the volume of water that distributed to the water distribution system and the volume that is billed to consumers (Lai Chee Hui, 2013). Normally, there will be different between the volume of water that distributed to the water distribution system and the volume that is billed to consumers. This is due to two main factors which are water losses and authorised consumption. Water losses consist of physical and commercial components. The authorised consumption is divided into unbilled authorized consumption and billed authorized consumption. However, the billed authorized consumption is not categorized as the component of NRW since the revenue will be collected in term of cost of water that used by consumers.

The main components of NRW are physical losses, commercial losses and unbilled authorized consumption. The physical loss is caused by leakage of pipe on the pipeline, storage facilities, problem with the main distribution and service connection. Pipe leakage may occur due to several factors such as low quality of the pipe, improper connection, high pressure and others. However, the commercial loss is due to meter problem, illegal connection, database error and also technical error. The last component which is unbilled authorized consumption occurred due to the usage of water for

firefighting, tanker and also operation purpose. There is a lot of factors that contributes to NRW, but one of the factors is the components of NRW.

In Malaysia, the national average of NRW rate is around 35% to 36%, while the rate of NRW in Pahang state is 48% (Anon., 2017). The high rate of NRW is detrimental to the financial viability of water utilities as well as the quality of the water itself. It is impossible to control the rate of NRW by a hundred percent, but it does not mean that we can do nothing with this problem. In order to reduce the rate of NRW, the proper methods execute is needed such as the pressure control, proper leakage detection, schedule pipe replacement and the quality work installation.

1.2 Problem Statement

It is found that the total of water flow that distributed from water treatment plant to the water distribution system is not the same as the total of water flow that billed to the consumer. Logically, the flows that come in must be the same with flows that come out. If such a situation is not achieved, there is a problem of water losses occur along that water distribution system before it reaches the customer. This phenomenon is known as NRW. This resulted in the amount of water received by the consumer becomes less or no supply at all.

Currently in Kuala Lipis district, records on water production at treatment plant do not tally with the billed consumer. The Pengurusan Air Pahang Berhad (PAIP) of Kuala Lipis district received complaints from the consumer on the lack of water supply or nor supply at all. This confirmed that the NRW is taken place at Kuala Lipis district. The highest level of the NRW is detrimental to the financial variability of water utilities as well to the quality of the water itself. This issue must be tackled seriously and necessary action to be taken in order to avoid a big amount of losses in the future.

1.3 Objectives

The objectives of this study are:

- i. To identify the components that contribute to NRW at Kuala Lipis.
- ii. To compare the flow before and after NRW unit establishment.

1.4 Scope of Study

The scope of this study focused on the NRW that occurs in the water distribution system at Kuala Lipis district. Comparison between the total flow that distributed to the water distribution system and the total flow that billed to customer for each water treatment plant (WTP) was held to identify the rate of NRW which are the total flow that already lost along the water distribution system. The analysis would be based on production, billing and NRW of each WTP for three years (2016, 2017 and 2018) after the establishment of NRW Unit.

Based on the different of total flow that distributed to the water distribution system and the total flow that billed to the customer, an analysis of NRW components was held to see the flow of water losses that contribute to incremental of NRW rate. The data and information on the physical and commercial losses and unbilled authorised consumption were used as evidence that contributes to water losses and incremental of NRW rate. In order to reduce the rate of NRW in the water distribution system at Kuala Lipis, some analysis was conducted in order to choose the appropriate method to execute to reduce the rate of NRW.

1.5 Significant of Study

For this study, factor contributing to the water losses were identified. Such identification can provide solution to overcome the lack of water being supply to the consumers. It also can reduce the rate of NRW by controlling the water losses through the replacement of aging pipe infrastructure.

REFERENCES

Anon., 2017. *KeTTHA to introduce new non-revenue water policy*, Kuala Lumpur: The Mlaysia Reserve.

Dhar, D., 2011. *Fluid Flow*, Indian: s.n.

Farley, M., 2001. *Leakage management and control : a best practice training manual*. s.l.:World Health Organization.

Farok, G., 2017. A case study of Dhaka Water Supply System Management. *Non-Revenue Water (NRW) is a challenge for Global Water Supply System Management*.

Guo, P., 2016. *Major and Minor Losses*. [Online]
Available at: <https://studylib.net/doc/10130722/major-and-minor-losses>
[Accessed 2018].

JPS Lipis, 2012. *Peta Lokasi Daerah Lipis*. Kuala Lipis: JPS Kominiti.

KDF Fluid Treatment, I., 2018. *POE or POU?*. [Online]
Available at: <http://www.kdfft.com/POEorPOU.htm>

Lai Chee Hui, C. N. W. a. N. A. Z., 2013. A Review of Selected Water Service Providers in Malaysia. *Non-revenue Water Management in Malaysia*, Volume 1, pp. 7-17.

Liemberger, F. a., 2010. *The Issues and Challenges of Reducing Non-Revenue Water*, s.l.: s.n.

PAIP Lipis, 2016. *Yearly Report*, Kuala Lipis: NRW unit.

PAIP Lipis, 2017. *Yearly Report*, Kuala Lipis: NRW unit.

PAIP Lipis, 2018. *Yearly Report*, Kuala Lipis: NRW unit.

PAIP, 2018. [Interview] 2018.

Simbeye, I., 2010. *Managing Non-Revenue Water*, Germany: Rackwitz.

SPAN, 2018. *Rate of NRW*, Kuantan: s.n.

Spuhler, G. a., 2018. *Water Distribution Pipes*, s.l.: s.n.

The Star Online, 2019. *Minister: NRW rate must be reduced*, Kuantan: The Star Online.

Yafei Hu, D. W. a. R. C., 2013. *Long Term Performance of Asbestos Cement Pipe*, North America: Water Research Foundation.

Yunus A. Chengel, J. M. C., 2014. *Fluid Mechanics*. Third Edition ed. s.l.:s.n.